# WEST BENGAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

#### Present-

The Hon'ble Urmita Datta (Sen) Member (J) & The Hon'ble Mr. P. Ramesh Kumar, Member (A).

OA-100 of 2017

	Sarama Maity Vs. The State of West Bengal & Ors.	
Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
11 14.03.2019	For the petitioner : Mr. S.N. Roy, Learned Advocate.	
	For the respondent : Mr. A. De, Departmental Representative.	
	The instant application has been filed	
	praying for a direction upon the respondents to	
	give appointment on compassionate ground to	
	the applicant.	
	As per the applicant, her father died in harness on 25.08.2000 at the age of 59 years	
	when the applicant was 7 years old only leaving	
	behind his widow wife and eight daughters.	
	Initially the mother of the applicant applied for	
	compassionate appointment but her prayer was	
	rejected vide order dated 23.08.2002	
	(Annexure-A3) on the ground that the deceased	
	employee died only seven months prior to his	
	retirement. Therefore, the case of the mother of	
	the applicant was not found fit for	
	compassionate appointment. Subsequently,	
Page /1	after attaining the age of majority, the applicant	

Form No. Sarama Maity

Vs.
The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Case No. OA-100 o	1 2017	
Serial No. and Date of order.	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary
1		3
11 14.03.2019	made an application on 19.12.2011 to the	
14.03.2013	respondents for compassionate appointment	
	(Annexure-A-4) followed by another application	
	on 17.12.2014 (Annexure-A6). However, her	
	prayer was rejected by memo dated 28.03.2013	
	(Annexure-A7) by the Respondent No. 2.	
	However, the applicant again made an	
	application to the Chief Minister's Office for	
	consideration of her case which was forwarded	
	to the Deptt. for their comments on	
	25.03.2015. (Annexure-A8). As she has not	
	been provided with compassionate	
	appointment, being aggrieved, she has filed this	
	instant application.	
	The respondents have filed their	
	reply wherein they have stated that the case of	
	the mother of the applicant was initially	
	rejected on the ground that the father of the	
	applicant died seven months prior to the	
	retirement and the case of the applicant was	
	rejected. However, in response to the C.M.O's	
Page /2	letter for re-examination of her case. The	

Form No. Sarama Maity

Vs.
The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Serial No. and Date of order.  1  Order of the Tribunal with signature 2  departmental representative of L & L R Deptt. has placed one letter dated 29.06.2015 issued by the Joint Secretary to the Govt. of West Bengal to the Joint Secretary to the Hon'ble Chief Minister, Nabanna stating that the case of the compassionate appointment was already rejected. Let the copy of the letter be kept with the record. Therefore, the respondents have prayed for dismissal of the OA.  Heard both the parties. It is noted that the father of the applicant died seven months prior to his retirement and, therefore, the application of the mother of the applicant for compassionate appointment was rejected when the applicant was seven years old only and subsequently after a gap of 12 years the applicant applied again for compassionate appointment without challenging the earlier order of rejection. Therefore, in our considered view repeated representation does not make any fruitful result for granting compassionate appointment to the deceased family. Therefore,	Case No. OA-100	of 2017	
departmental representative of L & L R Deptt.  has placed one letter dated 29.06.2015issued by the Joint Secretary to the Govt. of West Bengal to the Joint Secretary to the Hon'ble Chief Minister, Nabanna stating that the case of the compassionate appointment was already rejected. Let the copy of the letter be kept with the record. Therefore, the respondents have prayed for dismissal of the OA.  Heard both the parties. It is noted that the father of the applicant died seven months prior to his retirement and, therefore, the application of the mother of the applicant for compassionate appointment was rejected when the applicant was seven years old only and subsequently after a gap of 12 years the applicant applied again for compassionate appointment without challenging the earlier order of rejection. Therefore, in our considered view repeated representation does not make any fruitful result for granting compassionate appointment to the deceased family. Therefore			
departmental representative of L & L R Deptt. has placed one letter dated 29.06.2015issued by the Joint Secretary to the Govt. of West Bengal to the Joint Secretary to the Hon'ble Chief Minister, Nabanna stating that the case of the compassionate appointment was already rejected. Let the copy of the letter be kept with the record. Therefore, the respondents have prayed for dismissal of the OA.  Heard both the parties. It is noted that the father of the applicant died seven months prior to his retirement and, therefore, the application of the mother of the applicant for compassionate appointment was rejected when the applicant was seven years old only and subsequently after a gap of 12 years the applicant applied again for compassionate appointment without challenging the earlier order of rejection. Therefore, in our considered view repeated representation does not make any fruitful result for granting compassionate	_	2	of parties when necessary
has placed one letter dated 29.06.2015issued by the Joint Secretary to the Govt. of West Bengal to the Joint Secretary to the Hon'ble Chief Minister, Nabanna stating that the case of the compassionate appointment was already rejected. Let the copy of the letter be kept with the record. Therefore, the respondents have prayed for dismissal of the OA.  Heard both the parties. It is noted that the father of the applicant died seven months prior to his retirement and, therefore, the application of the mother of the applicant for compassionate appointment was rejected when the applicant was seven years old only and subsequently after a gap of 12 years the applicant applied again for compassionate appointment without challenging the earlier order of rejection. Therefore, in our considered view repeated representation does not make appointment to the deceased family. Therefore	11	departmental representative of L & L R Deptt.	-
Bengal to the Joint Secretary to the Hon'ble Chief Minister, Nabanna stating that the case of the compassionate appointment was already rejected. Let the copy of the letter be kept with the record. Therefore, the respondents have prayed for dismissal of the OA.  Heard both the parties. It is noted that the father of the applicant died seven months prior to his retirement and, therefore, the application of the mother of the applicant for compassionate appointment was rejected when the applicant was seven years old only and subsequently after a gap of 12 years the applicant applied again for compassionate appointment without challenging the earlier order of rejection. Therefore, in our considered view repeated representation does not make any fruitful result for granting compassionate		has placed one letter dated 29.06.2015issued	
Chief Minister, Nabanna stating that the case of the compassionate appointment was already rejected. Let the copy of the letter be kept with the record. Therefore, the respondents have prayed for dismissal of the OA.  Heard both the parties. It is noted that the father of the applicant died seven months prior to his retirement and, therefore, the application of the mother of the applicant for compassionate appointment was rejected when the applicant was seven years old only and subsequently after a gap of 12 years the applicant applied again for compassionate appointment without challenging the earlier order of rejection. Therefore, in our considered view repeated representation does not make any fruitful result for granting compassionate		by the Joint Secretary to the Govt. of West	
the compassionate appointment was already rejected. Let the copy of the letter be kept with the record. Therefore, the respondents have prayed for dismissal of the OA.  Heard both the parties. It is noted that the father of the applicant died seven months prior to his retirement and, therefore, the application of the mother of the applicant for compassionate appointment was rejected when the applicant was seven years old only and subsequently after a gap of 12 years the applicant applied again for compassionate appointment without challenging the earlier order of rejection. Therefore, in our considered view repeated representation does not make any fruitful result for granting compassionate		Bengal to the Joint Secretary to the Hon'ble	
rejected. Let the copy of the letter be kept with the record. Therefore, the respondents have prayed for dismissal of the OA.  Heard both the parties. It is noted that the father of the applicant died seven months prior to his retirement and, therefore, the application of the mother of the applicant for compassionate appointment was rejected when the applicant was seven years old only and subsequently after a gap of 12 years the applicant applied again for compassionate appointment without challenging the earlier order of rejection. Therefore, in our considered view repeated representation does not make any fruitful result for granting compassionate appointment to the deceased family. Therefore		Chief Minister, Nabanna stating that the case of	
the record. Therefore, the respondents have prayed for dismissal of the OA.  Heard both the parties. It is noted that the father of the applicant died seven months prior to his retirement and, therefore, the application of the mother of the applicant for compassionate appointment was rejected when the applicant was seven years old only and subsequently after a gap of 12 years the applicant applied again for compassionate appointment without challenging the earlier order of rejection. Therefore, in our considered view repeated representation does not make any fruitful result for granting compassionate appointment to the deceased family. Therefore		the compassionate appointment was already	
Heard both the parties. It is noted that the father of the applicant died seven months prior to his retirement and, therefore, the application of the mother of the applicant for compassionate appointment was rejected when the applicant was seven years old only and subsequently after a gap of 12 years the applicant applied again for compassionate appointment without challenging the earlier order of rejection. Therefore, in our considered view repeated representation does not make any fruitful result for granting compassionate		rejected. Let the copy of the letter be kept with	
Heard both the parties. It is noted that the father of the applicant died seven months prior to his retirement and, therefore, the application of the mother of the applicant for compassionate appointment was rejected when the applicant was seven years old only and subsequently after a gap of 12 years the applicant applied again for compassionate appointment without challenging the earlier order of rejection. Therefore, in our considered view repeated representation does not make any fruitful result for granting compassionate		the record. Therefore, the respondents have	
that the father of the applicant died seven months prior to his retirement and, therefore, the application of the mother of the applicant for compassionate appointment was rejected when the applicant was seven years old only and subsequently after a gap of 12 years the applicant applied again for compassionate appointment without challenging the earlier order of rejection. Therefore, in our considered view repeated representation does not make any fruitful result for granting compassionate		prayed for dismissal of the OA.	
that the father of the applicant died seven months prior to his retirement and, therefore, the application of the mother of the applicant for compassionate appointment was rejected when the applicant was seven years old only and subsequently after a gap of 12 years the applicant applied again for compassionate appointment without challenging the earlier order of rejection. Therefore, in our considered view repeated representation does not make any fruitful result for granting compassionate			
months prior to his retirement and, therefore, the application of the mother of the applicant for compassionate appointment was rejected when the applicant was seven years old only and subsequently after a gap of 12 years the applicant applied again for compassionate appointment without challenging the earlier order of rejection. Therefore, in our considered view repeated representation does not make any fruitful result for granting compassionate appointment to the deceased family. Therefore		Heard both the parties. It is noted	
the application of the mother of the applicant for compassionate appointment was rejected when the applicant was seven years old only and subsequently after a gap of 12 years the applicant applied again for compassionate appointment without challenging the earlier order of rejection. Therefore, in our considered view repeated representation does not make any fruitful result for granting compassionate appointment to the deceased family. Therefore		that the father of the applicant died seven	
for compassionate appointment was rejected when the applicant was seven years old only and subsequently after a gap of 12 years the applicant applied again for compassionate appointment without challenging the earlier order of rejection. Therefore, in our considered view repeated representation does not make any fruitful result for granting compassionate appointment to the deceased family. Therefore		months prior to his retirement and, therefore,	
when the applicant was seven years old only and subsequently after a gap of 12 years the applicant applied again for compassionate appointment without challenging the earlier order of rejection. Therefore, in our considered view repeated representation does not make any fruitful result for granting compassionate appointment to the deceased family. Therefore		the application of the mother of the applicant	
and subsequently after a gap of 12 years the applicant applied again for compassionate appointment without challenging the earlier order of rejection. Therefore, in our considered view repeated representation does not make any fruitful result for granting compassionate appointment to the deceased family. Therefore		for compassionate appointment was rejected	
applicant applied again for compassionate appointment without challenging the earlier order of rejection. Therefore, in our considered view repeated representation does not make any fruitful result for granting compassionate appointment to the deceased family. Therefore		when the applicant was seven years old only	
appointment without challenging the earlier order of rejection. Therefore, in our considered view repeated representation does not make any fruitful result for granting compassionate appointment to the deceased family. Therefore		and subsequently after a gap of 12 years the	
order of rejection. Therefore, in our considered view repeated representation does not make any fruitful result for granting compassionate appointment to the deceased family. Therefore		applicant applied again for compassionate	
view repeated representation does not make any fruitful result for granting compassionate appointment to the deceased family. Therefore		appointment without challenging the earlier	
any fruitful result for granting compassionate		order of rejection. Therefore, in our considered	
appointment to the deceased family. Therefore		view repeated representation does not make	
Page /3 appointment to the deceased family. Therefore,		any fruitful result for granting compassionate	
L	Page /3	appointment to the deceased family. Therefore,	

Form No. Sarama Maity

Vs.
The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Serial No. and Date of order.  1  we do not find any reason to entertain the same. Accordingly, OA is dismissed being devoid of merit with no order as to costs.  P. RAMESH KUMAR URMITA DATTA (SEN) MEMBER (A)  Member (J)  Office action with and dated signature of parties when neon to the parties when neon the parties when neon the parties when neon the parties when neon	ure
Date of order.  1  we do not find any reason to entertain the same. Accordingly, OA is dismissed being devoid of merit with no order as to costs.  P. RAMESH KUMAR URMITA DATTA (SEN)	
we do not find any reason to entertain the same. Accordingly, OA is dismissed being devoid of merit with no order as to costs.  P. RAMESH KUMAR URMITA DATTA (SEN)	essary
we do not find any reason to entertain the same. Accordingly, OA is dismissed being devoid of merit with no order as to costs.  P. RAMESH KUMAR URMITA DATTA (SEN)	
same. Accordingly, OA is dismissed being devoid of merit with no order as to costs.  P. RAMESH KUMAR URMITA DATTA (SEN)	
devoid of merit with no order as to costs.  P. RAMESH KUMAR URMITA DATTA (SEN)	
P. RAMESH KUMAR URMITA DATTA (SEN)	
MEMBER (A)  MEMBER (J)	
sc	

Form No. Sarama Maity

Vs.
The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
P/4		
02 15.02.2019		

Form No. Sarama Maity

Vs.
The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date
Date of order.	2	and dated signature
1		of parties when necessary 3
		3
P/5		
02		
15.02.2019		
P/6		

Form No. Sarama Maity

Vs.
The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
02 15.02.2019		
sc		